Rutgers University Student Instructional Rating Standard SIRS (Sakai Survey)

Borie-Holtz D Fall 2017 10:775:395:03 — "Resh. Methods (Lecture)" (Multiple Sections) Enrollment= 50, Responses= 37 Hide Graphs Part A: University-wide Questions:	Student Responses	Weighted Means				
		No response	Section	Course	Level	Dept
The instructor was prepared for class and presented the material in an organized manner	40 Strongly Disagree Neutral Agree Strongly Disagree Student Responses	1	3.4	3.8	3.8	4.3
2. The instructor responded effectively to student comments and questions	40 Void and base 20 3 7 6 7 Strongly Disagree Neutral Agree Strongly Disagree Student Responses	0	3.6	3.8	3.8	4.3
3. The instructor generated interest in the course material	40 Volume 1	0	3.5	3.5	3.6	4.1
The instructor had a positive attitude toward assisting all students in understanding course material	40 20 2	0	4.1	4	4.1	4.4
5. The instructor assigned grades fairly	40 About 20 20 2	0	3.7	4.1	4.1	4.3

6. The instructional methods encouraged student learning	40	0	3.5	3.5	3.5 4.	.1
	2 7 9 7 Strongly Disagree Neutral Agree Strongly Disagree Student Responses					
7. I learned a great deal in this course	40 Disagree Neutral Agree Strongly Disagree Student Responses	1	3.4	3.4	3.4	4
8. I had a strong prior interest in the subject matter and wanted to take this course	40 Volume 20 Strongly Disagree Neutral Agree Strongly Agree Student Responses	0	3.2	3.2	3.2 3.	. 6
9. I rate the teaching effectiveness of the instructor as	40 20 20 Poor Student Responses	0	3.2	3.5	3.6 4	4.
10. I rate the overall quality of the course as	20 5 6 9 9 8 Excellent Student Responses	0	3.2	3.4	3.4 3	3.